**Pre-proposal’s title**

Please use **an easily readable document layout** (A4 pages, Calibri 11 or equivalent, single spaced, 2cm margins, numbered pages).

**Proposals must be in PDF format and cannot exceed a four-page limit. No annexe allowed.** CVs of scientific coordinator and partner’s scientific leaders must be completed on-line.

**Preproposals must fulfil the two main evaluation criteria** : « Quality and scientific aim » and « Organisation and implementation of the project ». **Applicants are advised to consult the AAPG2021 guide for further information about different sub-criteria related to the chosen funding instrument**.

# Pre-proposal’s context, positioning and objective(s)

**This paragraph refers to the evaluation criterion « Quality and scientific aim ». The following information should be detailed here:**

* project’s objectives and research hypotheses;
* position of the project as it relates to the state of the art;
* methodology to reach the scientific objectives;
* innovative nature of the project, ambitiousness and originality of the objectives and the methodology
* ability of the project to address the research issues covered by the chosen research theme (cf. §F. Scientific themes covered by the Generic Call for Proposals 2021 [in the call](https://anr.fr/fileadmin/aap/2021/aapg-2021-v1.1.pdf)).

T**he criterion « Quality and scientific aims» will be the determining one: only the projects having received an « A » will proceed to the second step of the evaluation process.**

# Partnership (consortium or team)

***This paragraph refers to the evaluation criterion « Organisation and implementation of the project ». The following information should be detailed here:***

* **For a collaborative research project (PRC, PRCE),**
	+ scientific coordinator, her/his experience as a coordinator of projects and her/his experience in the scientific field, her/his involvement in the project;
	+ consortium and its complementarity: quality and complementarity nature of the consortium to reach the objectives, identity of the scientists involved, their institution and all other information providing a framework for judging the quality and complementarity of the partners and of the effectiveness of the partnership.
* **For young researcher’s project (JCJC),**
	+ scientific coordinator, her/his position within the organisation of the host laboratory, her/his experience as a coordinator of projects, and her/his experience in the scientific field, her/his involvement in the project;
	+ scientific coordinator’s team, its quality and complementarity to reach the objectives;
	+ project's capacity to promote the coordinator’s level of responsibility or development of the young researcher's own team.

# References related to the project

**This section refers to the evaluation criterion « Quality and scientific aim ».**

Preprints are allowed.

DOI are allowed.

If available, please indicate the « open access » link to improve accessibility for the reviewers.

**Impact factors are prohibited.**